

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/449,097	05/24/1995	JOHN C. HARVEY	5634.208	1413
21967	7590 06/19/2002			
HUNTON & WILLIAMS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			EXAMINER	
			MEHTA, BHAVESH M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
W/101111101	20000 1105		2611	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES FOR ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/449,097					
				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				29	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

This communication is responsive to the Request for Reconsideration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 filed August 21, 2001. The Request for Reconsideration requests withdrawal of the determination, in the Office communication mailed March 21, 2001, that certain of applicants' replies were not fully responsive to the prior Office action for failure to comply with the Administrative Requirement imposed by the Office.

In order to resolve conflicts between applicants' applications, the Administrative Requirement was imposed in this application and in certain of applicants' co-pending applications. Under the Administrative Requirement, applicants are required to:

- (1) file terminal disclaimers in each of the related co-pending applications terminally disclaiming each of the other co-pending applications;
- (2) provide an affidavit attesting to the fact that all claims in the co-pending applications have been reviewed by applicant and that no conflicting claims exists between the applications; or
- resolve all conflicts between claims in the identified co-pending applications by identifying how all the claims in the instant application are distinct and separate inventions from all the claims in the identified co-pending applications. In application Serial No. 08/470,571, applicants filed a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 requesting, inter alia, that the Commissioner order that the Administrative Requirement be withdrawn. However, as agreed upon in a telephonic interview conducted with applicants' representative on February 8, 2002, applicants have withdrawn the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 in application Serial No. 08/470,571. Further, applicants have agreed to comply with the Administrative Requirement at such time that written notification is provided that this application is otherwise in condition for allowance.

The Office recognizes that applicants have consistently asserted the Administrative Requirement to be improper and have not conceded its propriety. After a review of applicants' responses to the Administrative Requirement, the Office deems them to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application to final action. However, the issue of the propriety of the Administrative Requirement is now rendered moot by applicants' agreement to comply with the Administrative Requirement at such time that written notification is provided that this application is otherwise in condition for allowance.

In view of applicants' agreement to comply with the Administrative Requirement and applicants' timely filed Request for Reconsideration, this application remains pending. No further response to the communication mailed March 21, 2001, is required by applicants in order to avoid the abandonment of this application. An action on the merits of this application will follow this communication in due course.

Bhavesh M Mehta Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2611